# Trace Environmental Systems

## Providers of Continuous Stack Monitoring Systems

www.traceenv.com

### **Trace Overview**

Jim Toolen Sales Manager/Co-Owner

201-670-7077 jtoolen@traceenv.com

### **Trace Overview**

Kevin Ramazan Ph.D. VP Of FTIR Analyzer Operations

California Analytical

### **Trace Overview**

- Provide Fully Compliant Stack Monitoring and **Reporting Systems** CEM Systems (Hardware Based) PEM Systems (Software Based) Started in 1995 ■ 20 Employees Installed at over 60 Ethanol Production Facilities
- Installed at over 55 Biomass Power Plants

### Trace Overview Continued

- Serve industries such as Power Generation, Petroleum, Waste Incinerators, Chemical Production, Bio Fuels, Biomass Power and others
- Three Main Components of Trace Offering:
  - Complete, Turn-Key Stack Monitoring Systems
    DAS Reporting Software
    Ongoing support services (field and remote)

### Typical System Make Up

### Climate Controlled, Walk-in Shelters



### Shelter

#### Climate Controlled Interior



### Shelter

#### Accessible components for operators



### Typical System Make Up

### Free Standing Cabinets



### **Calibration Gas Arrangement**



### **Calibration Gas Arrangement**



### DAS Reporting Software Sample Operator Screen

🛃 Data Monitor - Praxsir, I	nc [MINAUTE]	Poling Data Processing	allPer-Polinia Ports	GoToMyPC: Sound is on.	Help	wir sedr		_ @ 🛛
Startup/Onlin	ie	rowny construction	group and a			Mar - Chap	F2-MINU	JTE DATA
Source Status			CEMS Ma	aintenance	NORMA	L		
NOx	[ppm]	0.34	NOx Rate	[lb/mmBtu]	0.00	00	1.56n.54	v Emission Rate (Index Btul
CO	[ppm]	29.70	CO Rate	[lb/mmBtu]	0.01	9		0.100
NH3	[ppm]	40.69	NH3 Rate	[lb/mmBtu]	0.01	5		
02	[%]	0.00						0.080
Nat Gas HHV	[btu/scf]	1073	NOx Mas	s [lb/hr]	0.0			0.060
Tail Gas HHV	[btu/scf]	358	CO Mass	[lb/hr]	7.7	'5		
NG SMR Flow	[kscf/hr]	56	NH3 Mas	s [lb/hr]	6.1	2 -		0.040
NG SMR	[mmBtu/hr]	60	PM10 Ma	ss [lb/hr]	5.0	6		
NG Aux Flow	[kscf/hr]	41	SO2 Mas	s [lb/hr]	1.7	1		0.020
NG Aux Heat	[mmBtu/hr]	44	VOC Mas	s [lb/hr]	3.4	3		
Tail Gas Flow	[kscf/hr]	848				08:24	0836 0848 090	0 0912 0312
Tail Gas Heat	[mmBtu/hr]	304	NH3 @ 39	% [ppm]	34.8	5		
Total Heat	[mmBtu/hr]	408						
Oplino						_		
Onine	-					_		
Source Status			NOx Rate	[lb/mmBtu]	0.00	00	T 0 1/	70 000 0550
Date Chattan		B Bard Ca	Calibration		1. designed and a second	J	Trace Support	9/3-383-3550
J Data Status: <	= OK/Not all Sample	S B = Bad C =	Calibrating X	= Out-of-Control D =	Maintenance	d = Source L	Jown S = Inst. Fault	M = MISSING
Station	Group	CI	hannel	Alarm	Value	Status	Start	Ack.
Single Station	1-Hr Startup/Online	SO2-m		Limit	1.71	Ack Active	03/25/2013 15:00:00	04/05/2013 08:50:53
Single Station	24-Hr Rolling (NH3)	NH3 @3%		Limit	34.85	Ack Active	03/25/2013 15:00:00	04/05/2013 08:50:54
Single Station	12-Month Rolling	NH3-m		Limit	141.56	Ack Active	01/01/2013 00:00:00	03/19/2013 12:48:02
	Contraction of the second s	A CONTRACTOR OF A						

#### DAS Reporting Software Sample Operator Screen

Kiln Stack	1-Min	15-Min	1-Hr	Daily	Bypas	s Stack	1-Min	15-Min	1-Hr	Daily
SO2 [ppmvd]	31.9	32.3	31.9	27.5	SO2	[ppmvd]	149.0	132.7	99.0	106
SO2 Mass [lb/hr]	41.8	42.6	42.3	35.9	SO2 Mas	s[lb/hr] [	36.2	31.7	25.0	25
NOx [ppmvd]	224.4	235.0	196.8	138.7	NOx	[ppmvd] [	239.7	238.2	197.9	248
NOx Mass [lb/hr]	211.4	223.2	185.4	130.6	NOx Mas	s[lb/hr] [	41.9	41.0	36.0	43
002 [%]	17.7	17.7	17.8	17.81	CO2	[%]	4.1	4.1	3.7	4.0
CO2 Mass [Mtons/hr]	72.3	73	73.5	72.5	CO2 Mas	s[Mtons/hr]	3.1	3.1	2.9	3
NH3 [ppmvd]	49.60	49.6	51.6	50.1	NH3	[ppmvd] [	0.00	0.0	0.0	0
NH3 Mass [lb/hr]	17.3	17.5	18.4	17.4	NH3 Mas	s[lb/hr] [	0.0	0.0	0.0	0
Stack Flow [kdscfm]	131.5	132.5	138.3	131.3	Stk Flow	[kdscfm] [	24.4	24.0	25.4	24
Stack Flow [kwscfm]	154.5	155.7	156.7	154.4	Stk Flow	[kwscfm] [	27.4	26.9	27.5	27
Stack Flow [kacfm]	212.9	214.9	215.2	213.6	Stk Flow	[kacfm]	37.3	36.7	37.4	37
120 [%]	14.88	14.89	15.19	15.19	H2O	[%] [	11.09	10.94	9.89	11.4
D2 [%]	10.41	10.47	10.43	10.43	02	[%]	17.76	17.72	18.14	17.
D2 Mass [lb/hr]	68268	69173	69325	69325	O2 Mass	[lb/hr]	21611	21176	22516	211
CO [ppmvd]	784.1	790.7	820.35	692.37	со	[ppmvd] [	2.4	2.1	2.6	3
CO Mass [lb/hr]	449.6	456.9	474.8	395.3	CO Mass	[lb/hr]	0.3	0.2	0.3	0
ICI [nnmvd]	Q 70	0 21	Q 15	861	HCI	Innmvd1 [	7 20	683	1 27	54
Station	Group	Char	inel	Alarm	Va	ilue Stati	IS	Start		Ack.

U.S. EPA ruled that the Thermal Oxidizers and HRSG's at ethanol production facilities are akin to a boiler and are thus categorized as a Steam Generating Unit

Boilers and TO/HRSG units at or greater than 100 MMBtu/Hr. heat input capacity are subject to US EPA 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart Db....and thus must continuously monitor their stack emissions for NOx and O2.

Traditional method of meeting the regulatory requirements:

### CEMs

### **Continuous Emissions Monitoring**

#### CEMs Made up of:

#### Probe

- Heated Umbilical Line
- Cabinet or Shelter

Analyzers, pumps, sample coolers, PLC, solenoids, rotameters, calibration gas bottles, regulators, wiring, plumbing, etc.

 Alternative Method of meeting regulatory requirements: US EPA Promulgated Performance Specification 16 (PS-16)

### PEMs

Predictive Emissions Monitoring



Made up of:

#### PC and Software



- The ideal application for a PEMs is when it is replacing an existing CEMs.
- This large amount of historical emission data within the CEMs is used to develop a robust PEMs model.
- PEMs can run in parallel with the CEMs for any period of time

Operational Cost Savings Using PEMs

No Daily Calibration Gas Bottles
No Spare Parts
No Component or Analyzer Failures
No Technician Call-Out's

The cumulative year after year cost savings is significant

#### CO2 Scrubber Stack Monitoring

Ethanol plants are required to perform regular stack emission testing for HAPs and VOC's from their CO2 Scrubber

 HAPs include: Acetaldehyde, Acrolein, Formaldehyde, Methanol and Ethanol

#### CO2 Scrubber Stack Monitoring

Stack testing frequency varies depending upon expected annual emissions in tons. Testing can be required annually, twice per year, quarterly or more frequently

#### CO2 Scrubber Stack Monitoring

CO2 scrubber emissions are controlled by use of water to control VOC's and chemical (Sodium Bisulfite) to control HAPs

#### CO2 Scrubber Stack Monitoring

Water flow and chemical feed to the CO2 scrubber are set and fixed based upon stack test results

This fixed control rate of max water and chemical usage could result in over use of both and wasted dollars for the biofuel plants

#### CO2 Scrubber Stack Monitoring

NDEQ give the plants the choice to either perform stack testing or install a CEM on the CO2 scrubber stack.

#### CO2 Scrubber Stack Monitoring

Several Nebraska plants have already opted to install CEMs and no longer have to contract the periodic stack testing and do not have to maintain a fixed water and chemical feed rate....they can control emissions using only the correct amount of water and chemical needed...based upon real time CEMs readings

#### CO2 Scrubber Stack Monitoring

The CO2 Scrubber CEM is just like a traditional CEM consisting of:

- Stack probe and Stack Flowmeter
- Heated Umbilical
- Shelter or Free Standing cabinet with Analyzers and Plumbing
- PC with DAS Reporting Software

#### CO2 Scrubber Stack Monitoring

The HAPs are measured continuously using an FTIR Analyzer (Measures light absorption of gases)

The VOC's are measured as Total Hydrocarbons using a THC Analyzer

#### CO2 Scrubber Stack Monitoring

The FTIR/THC CEMs has performed reliably and well in this application and additional Nebraska plants have gone the route of CO2 Scrubber CEMs as a result of feedback from the use of CEMs in this application.

### Thank You

#### Jim Toolen Trace Environmental Systems

201-670-7077

jtoolen@traceenv.com